

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE OF HILTON PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY 2nd APRIL AT 7.30 IN THE METHODIST CHURCH, POTTON ROAD.

Present: Cllrs G Barradell (Chairman), K Dunk, A Goodridge, P.Balicki. Cllr W.Wilson

In Attendance: Jo Perez (clerk) 3 Parishioners

- 1. To receive any apologies for absence and any declarations of Interest**
No apologies of absence. No declarations of interest.
- 2. To approve the minutes of the previous meeting held on the 5th March 2014**
Minutes were approved as a true record and signed by the chairman.

Comments and observations from members of the public (NB *Comments from the public should be relevant to items on this agenda only*)

Pauline Reynolds of Tithe Close asked for her Son to speak on her behalf. He raised concerns regarding the visual impact as the rear part of the proposed extension is 3 metres high, higher than the current structure. They were also concerned about the proposed materials to be used, including the zinc proposed for the roof and the possibility of glare and maintenance. The new structure will be seen from Mrs Reynolds garden.

Alan Seeley – homeowner of Meadowview made his comments, he assured all that the new building was still the same distance from the boundary as the current structure which is 10 metres. He also explained that he would like to keep the hedge that currently runs along the existing driveway which would help obscure the new structure from the road. He explained that the zinc proposed for the roof would oxidise quickly and that the greenery proposed for the flat part of the roof should be easily maintainable and that had been a consideration.
Open session closed at 19.46

3. Planning Applications received

3.1 1400340FUL Side and rear extensions to dwelling house, Meadow View, Potton Road, Hilton, Huntingdon PE28 9NG

Cllr Barradell advised that he and Cllr Dunk had been to do a site visit at the property and spoken with direct neighbours who had expressed their delight and happiness at proposed extension.

Cllr Barradell asked that the plans be looked at in two parts. Firstly, the side extension- there was no issue with this part as in keeping with current structure. Secondly, the proposed link and extension to the back of the property. There were mixed views within the Planning Committee, some were concerned over the visual dominance as the back part of the new extension will be a more dominant structure as it is taller than current structure. There were concerns over the roof material and the view of the roof not being broken up. Others within the committee thought the proposal was good and that it would be better having a modern, contemporary structure on the back of the current old structure.

The Planning Committee recommended that this application be refused for the following reasons:-

The Parish Council feel the end accommodation – replacement of the out building is too visually dominant. The height, shape and material of this part of the build are too dominant. Prop K.D, 2nd W.W 1 in favour, 2 against.

The Planning committee wanted to express that they are generally happy with the suggested plans other than the above.

4. Closure of Meeting

Meeting was closed at 8.15pm

Signed.....Date.....

